

	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU				
PCT	To:				
NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION (PCT Rule 61.2)	Assistant Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Box PCT Washington, D.C.20231 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE				
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 19 April 2000 (19.04.00)	in its capacity as elected Office				
International application No. PCT/SE99/01642	Applicant's or agent's file reference 98 P 2021 P				
International filing date (day/month/year) 20 September 1999 (20.09.99)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21 September 1998 (21.09.98)				
Applicant LIDMAN, Johan et al					
LIDMAN, Johan et al 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 31 March 2000 (31.03.00) in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on: .					
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Claudio Borton				
Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	lephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38				

Form PCT/IB/331 (July 1992)

.....

SE9901642

NK

PCT/SE99/01642

Copy for the Elected Office (EO/US)

	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU			
РСТ	To:			
NOTIFICATION OF THE RECORDING OF A CHANGE (PCT Rule 92bis.1 and Administrative Instructions, Section 422) Date of mailing (day/month/year)	ST. JUDE MEDICAL AB Patent Dept. S-175 84 Järfälla SUÈDE			
19 December 2000 (19.12.00)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference 98 P 2021 P	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION			
International application No. PCT/SE99/01642	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 September 1999 (20.09.99)			
1. The following indications appeared on record concerning: X the applicant	the agent the common representative			
Name and Address PACESETTER AB S-175 84 Järfälla Sweden	State of Nationality State of Residence SE SE Telephone No.			
	Facsimile No.			
2. The International Bureau hereby notifies the applicant that to the person X the name the add				
Name and Address ST. JUDE MEDICAL AB S-175 84 Järfälla	State of Nationality State of Residence SE SE Telephone No.			
Sweden	Facsimile No.			
	Teleprinter No.			
3. Further observations, if necessary:				
4. A copy of this notification has been sent to:				
X the receiving Office	the designated Offices concerned			
the International Searching Authority	X the elected Offices concerned			
the International Preliminary Examining Authority	other:			
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Catherine Massetti			
Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38			
Form PCT/IB/306 (March 1994)	003731470			



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's	or age	nt's file reference	T	See Notificat	ion of Transmittal of International	
98 P 202	1 P		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
Internationa	l appli	cation No.	International filing date (day/month	/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/SE9	9/01	642	20/09/1999		21/09/1998	
A61N1/36		nt Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC			
Applicant	TTE	R AB et al.				
1. This in and is	trans	ational preliminary exam smitted to the applicant a	ination report has been prepared according to Article 36.	l by this Interi	national Preliminary Examining Authority	
2. This F	REPO	RT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including this cover sl	heet.		
b	een a	mended and are the bas	d by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of th sis for this report and/or sheets c 07 of the Administrative Instructio	ontaining rec	, claims and/or drawings which have tifications made before this Authority PCT).	
These	ann	exes consist of a total of	sheets			
111636						
		·····				
3. This r	eport	contains indications rela	ating to the following items:			
	\boxtimes	Basis of the report				
II		Priority				
		Non-establishment of c	ppinion with regard to novelty, inv	ventive step a	nd industrial applicability	
ıv		Lack of unity of invention	on			
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations suporting such statement						
VI		Certain documents cite	ed .			
VII	VII 🛛 Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of sub	missio	on of the demand	Date of	completion of th	nis report	
31/01/2000			0 3. 08. 00			
		g address of the internationa	al Authoriz	ed officer	CONSCRETE MATCHE	
preliminary		ining authority:				
ക	D-80	opean Patent Office 0298 Munich	Held, C	G		
<u> </u>	Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Telephone No. +49 89 2399 2248				2399 2248	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 1994)

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

Description, pages:

as published	
a	s published

Claims, No.:

1-13 as published

Drawings, sheets:

1/7-7/7 as published

2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:
- 3. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:





V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

(r 1

Novelty (N)		Claims Claims	1 - 13
Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1 - 13
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1 - 13

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

1. Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: US-A-5 040 535

1.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of independent claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An apparatus for providing a signal representing the status of a sensor in a medical implant comprising a sensor which generates positive and negative charges in response to positive and negative changes in load, means for detecting the charges from the sensor, said detected positive and negative charges constituting a sensor output voltage, means for integrating the sensor output voltage thereby providing an integrated signal, said signal representing the status of the sensor wherein said integrating means comprising voltage-to-frequency converting means for converting the sensor output current into a frequency signal and counting means for subjecting said frequency signal to a counting operation for obtaining said integrated signal.

The differentiating feature of the present independent claim 1 in comparison with document D1 is that the measured variable is the current in place of the voltage in D1. The advantage reached with this feature is to avoid leakage of charges which negatively affect the accuracy of the measurement (stated in the description of the application on page 1, line 29 - page 2, line 2).

In the available prior art is no passage which addresses the problem of leakage of charges, Thus, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 does fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) - (4) PCT.

1.2 Claims 2 - 13 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.





International application No. PCT/SE99/01642

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Interna EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

2. Re Item VII Certain defects in the international application

2.1 According to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 should have been mentioned in the description.

2.2 Independent claim 1 should have been drafted in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in a preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in a characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

In addition, the applicant should have ensured that it is clear from the description which features of the subject-matter of claim 1 are known from document D1 (see the PCT Guidelines PCT/GL/3 III, 2.3a).



REC'E 0 4 AUG 2000

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent	t's file reference		Soo Notific	ation of Transmittal of International	
98 P 2021 P		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International applica	ation No.	International filing date (day/mor	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/SE99/0164	42	20/09/1999		21/09/1998	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61N1/365					
Applicant					
PACESETTER	AB et al.		,		
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPOR	T consists of a total of	5 sheets, including this cover	sheet.		
 This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets. 					
 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I ⊠ Basis of the report II □ Priority 					
		pinion with regard to novelty, i	nventive step	and industrial applicability	
V 🛛 I	 IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations suporting such statement 				
-	Certain defects in the international application				
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report			this report		
31/01/2000 C 3. 08. 00			3. 08. 00		
preliminary examini		al Autho	rized officer	Contraction of the second seco	
D-802	ean Patent Office 98 Munich 49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656	Sepmud	, G		

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 2248

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 1994)

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

International application No. PCT/SE99/01642

I. Basis of the report

i

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

Description, pages:

1-26	as published
------	--------------

Claims, No.:

1-13 as published

Drawings, sheets:

1/7-7/7 as published

- 2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
 - the description, pages:
 - □ the claims, Nos.:
 - □ the drawings, sheets:
- 3. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):
- 4. Additional observations, if necessary:



V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

1

Novelty (N)		Claims Claims	1 - 13
Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1 - 13
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1 - 13

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

مستعاليه م

-

¥ in

100.00

1. Re Item V

1

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: US-A-5 040 535

1.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of independent claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An apparatus for providing a signal representing the status of a sensor in a medical implant comprising a sensor which generates positive and negative charges in response to positive and negative changes in load, means for detecting the charges from the sensor, said detected positive and negative charges constituting a sensor output voltage, means for integrating the sensor output voltage thereby providing an integrated signal, said signal representing the status of the sensor wherein said integrating means comprising voltage-to-frequency converting means for converting the sensor output current into a frequency signal and counting means for subjecting said frequency signal to a counting operation for obtaining said integrated signal.

The differentiating feature of the present independent claim 1 in comparison with document D1 is that the measured variable is the current in place of the voltage in D1. The advantage reached with this feature is to avoid leakage of charges which negatively affect the accuracy of the measurement (stated in the description of the application on page 1, line 29 - page 2, line 2).

In the available prior art is no passage which addresses the problem of leakage of charges, Thus, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 does fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) - (4) PCT.

1.2 Claims 2 - 13 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.



2



2. Re Item VII Certain defects in the international application

2.1 According to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 should have been mentioned in the description.

2.2 Independent claim 1 should have been drafted in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in a preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in a characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

In addition, the applicant should have ensured that it is clear from the description which features of the subject-matter of claim 1 are known from document D1 (see the PCT Guidelines PCT/GL/3 III, 2.3a).